

# Department of Environmental Quality

Kimberly D. Shelley Executive Director

DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY Bryce C. Bird Director

RN103270030

September 29, 2022

Jon Finlinson Intermountain Power Service Corporation 850 West Brush Wellman Rd Delta, UT 846249546 Mike.Utley@ipsc.com

Dear Jon Finlinson,

Re: Engineer Review:

Modification to DAQE-AN103270029-22 to Correct a NO<sub>x</sub> Limit Averaging Period

Project Number: N103270030

The DAQ requests a company representative (Title V Responsible Official for enhanced Approval Order application) review and sign the attached Engineer Review (ER). This ER identifies all applicable elements of the New Source Review permitting program. Intermountain Power Service Corporation should complete this review within **10 business days** of receipt.

Intermountain Power Service Corporation should contact **John Jenks** at (385) 306-6510 if there are questions or concerns with the review of the draft permit conditions. Upon resolution of your concerns, please email jjenks@utah.gov the signed cover letter to John Jenks. Upon receipt of the signed cover letter, the DAQ will prepare an ITA for a 30-day public comment period. At the completion of the comment period, the DAQ will address any comments and will prepare an AO for signature by the DAQ Director.

If Intermountain Power Service Corporation does not respond to this letter within **10 business days**, the project will move forward without source concurrence. If Intermountain Power Service Corporation has concerns that cannot be resolved and the project becomes stagnant, the DAQ Director may issue an Order prohibiting construction.

Approval Signature		
	(Signature & Date)	
	By (Title V responsible official) initialing this box and signing this document, this document	
	serves as an enhanced application and the public comment period will serve as the required	
	comment period for Title V purposes.	

The Title V responsible official certifies: based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.

# UTAH DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY ENGINEER REVIEW

# **SOURCE INFORMATION**

Project Number N103270030

Owner Name Intermountain Power Service Corporation

Mailing Address 850 West Brush Wellman Rd

Delta, UT, 846249546

Source Name Intermountain Power Service Corporation- Intermountain

Generation Station

Source Location 850 West Brush Wellman Road

Delta, UT 84624-9546

UTM Projection 364136.12 m Easting, 4374604.80 m Northing

UTM Datum NAD27 UTM Zone UTM Zone 12

SIC Code 4911 (Electric Services)

Source Contact Mike Utley Phone Number (435) 864-6489

Email Mike.Utley@ipsc.com

Project Engineer
Phone Number
Email
John Jenks, Engineer
(385) 306-6510
jjenks@utah.gov

Notice of Intent (NOI) Submitted August 29, 2022 Date of Accepted Application August 30, 2022

# SOURCE DESCRIPTION

## General Description

Intermountain Power Agency (IPA) operates the Intermountain Generating Station facility in Delta, Utah. Intermountain Generating Station consists of two (2) coal-fired electric utility steam generating units and the ancillary facilities to support their normal operation. The units are dry bottom, wall-fired boilers with a nominal capacity of 9,225 MMBtu/hr each. These units will be replaced with two 487 MW natural-gas/hydrogen fueled CCCT units controlled with selective catalytic reduction (SCR). The plant is a Phase II Acid Rain source and is a major source of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, CO, HAP, and HCl emissions.

#### NSR Classification:

Minor Modification at Major Source

# Source Classification

Located in Attainment Area, Millard County Airs Source Size: A

#### Applicable Federal Standards

NSPS (Part 60), A: General Provisions

NSPS (Part 60), Da: Standards of Performance for Electric Utility Steam Generating Units for Which Construction is Commenced After September 18, 1978

NSPS (Part 60), Db: Standards of Performance for Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

NSPS (Part 60), Dc: Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

NSPS (Part 60), Y: Standards of Performance for Coal Preparation and Processing Plants NSPS (Part 60), IIII: Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

NSPS (Part 60), KKKK: Standards of Performance for Stationary Combustion Turbines NSPS (Part 60), TTTT: Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Electric Generating Units

MACT (Part 63), A: General Provisions

MACT (Part 63), ZZZZ: National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines

MACT (Part 63), DDDDD: National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Major Sources: Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters MACT (Part 63), UUUUU: National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Coal-

MACT (Part 63), JJJJJJ: National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for

Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources

and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units

Title IV (Part 72 / Acid Rain)

Title V (Part 70) Major Source

#### Project Proposal

Modification to DAQE-AN103270029-22 to Correct a NO<sub>x</sub> Limit Averaging Period

# Project Description

When AO DAQE-AN103270029-22 was issued, an inadvertent error was included in the averaging period for the  $NO_x$  limit on the new combustion turbines. Rather than including the correct 30-day rolling period established as BACT, an erroneous averaging period of 3-hours was listed. This permitting action is to rectify this error. As this could potentially be viewed as a relaxation of a permit term, a 30-day public comment period is required prior to making this change. No other changes are anticipated as part of this permitting action.

# **EMISSION IMPACT ANALYSIS**

This permitting action does not represent a change in emissions. The only change taking place is a change in the averaging period for demonstrating compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> limit. As there is not change in emissions no modeling is required. [Last updated August 30, 2022]

# **SUMMARY OF EMISSIONS**

The emissions listed below are an estimate of the total potential emissions from the source. Some rounding of emissions is possible.

Criteria Pollutant	Change (TPY)	Total (TPY)
CO <sub>2</sub> Equivalent	0	3,952,225
Carbon Monoxide	0	236.51
Lead	0	0.00
Nitrogen Oxides	0	286.92
Particulate Matter - PM <sub>10</sub>	0	112.57
Particulate Matter - PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0	108.29
Sulfur Dioxide	0	128.90
Volatile Organic Compounds	0	82.09

Hazardous Air Pollutant	Change (lbs/yr)	Total (lbs/yr)
Beryllium (TSP) (CAS #7440417)	0	0
Generic HAPs (CAS #GHAPS)	0	35560
Hydrochloric Acid (Hydrogen Chloride) (CAS #7647010)	0	0
Lead (CAS #7439921)	0	0
Mercury (Organic) (CAS #22967926)	0	0
	Change (TPY)	Total (TPY)
Total HAPs	0	17.78

Note: Change in emissions indicates the difference between previous AO and proposed modification.

# **Review of BACT for New/Modified Emission Units**

# 1. BACT review regarding $NO_x$ emissions (original review excerpt).

The following represents the original BACT review for the combustion turbines NO<sub>x</sub> emissions:

# BACT review regarding CCCT NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions

NO<sub>x</sub> control for CTGs are limited compared to coal-fired units. Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) systems are not technically feasible for CTGs due to the temperature regime. OFA is specific to use in a coal-fired boiler. The SNCR and OFA technologies are therefore not discussed further.

# Step 1: Identify All Control Technologies

Dry low  $NO_x$  burners (DLNBs) mitigate thermal  $NO_x$  formation without the use of water or steam, hence the term dry. DLNBs uses a lean mixture of fuel and air (a lower fuel per air ratio, which is also more excess air) to lower the combustion flame temperature, which in turns dampens  $NO_x$  formation. Water injection and DLNBs typically are not installed with one another.

Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) system injects ammonia in the presence of a catalyst. The catalyst operates best around 600 to 750°F. Outside of this temperature range, the catalyst activity drops and at high temperatures, the catalyst structure can degrade. Thus, SCRs have been commonly installed in combined cycle applications and not for bypass stacks or simple cycle operations.

# Step 2: Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

DLNBs and SCRs are both technically feasible as control technology alternatives.

# Step 3: Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

SCRs can achieve over 90 percent control in certain applications. For the natural gas scenario in this project, a greater than 95 percent control can be achieved. DLNBs are a standard offering with many CTGs and it is not further discussed here due to its implicit application.

# Step 4: Evaluate Most Effective Controls

**Energy Impacts** 

The use of an SCR system has significant energy impacts on a CTG. The additional backpressure on the turbine will result in less energy output. In addition to the backpressure, the SCR system requires an ammonia storage, handling, and delivery system, which would include vaporizers and blowers to vaporize and dilute the ammonia reagent for injection. The pumps and vaporizers will require energy.

# **Environmental Impacts**

The environmental impacts of the SCR system are many. The vanadium content of the catalyst classifies it as a hazardous waste. The use of ammonia in an SCR system introduces an element of environmental risk. However, the storage and use of ammonia is a relatively routine practice. Ammonia slip from an SCR system is one of the major design considerations that establishes catalyst life. The SCR catalyst will oxidize approximately 2 to 3% of the SO<sub>2</sub> in the flue gas to SO<sub>3</sub>. The SO<sub>2</sub> may react with ammonia or moisture present in the flue gas to form ammonium sulfate and bisulfate salts and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. These in turn will increase the amount of PM<sub>10</sub> emitted in the flue gas. As the catalyst gradually deactivates, more ammonia must be injected to compensate and maintain the desired NO<sub>x</sub> reduction. This results in an increased amount of ammonia slip.

**Economic Impacts** 

An economic impact analysis was not performed as the installation of a SCR is included in the design of the CCCT system.

# Step 5: Select BACT

The installation of a SCR is considered BACT. The SCR will control the  $NO_x$  emissions to 2 ppmvd (at 15%  $O_2$ ) while firing natural gas or a combination of natural gas and hydrogen gas during normal operation. The emission rate will be monitored with a CEMS and it is proposed that compliance with this limit be achieved based on a 30-day rolling average.

[Last updated August 30, 2022]

# **SECTION I: GENERAL PROVISIONS**

The intent is to issue an air quality AO authorizing the project with the following recommended conditions and that failure to comply with any of the conditions may constitute a violation of the AO. (New or Modified conditions are indicated as "New" in the Outline Label):

I.1	All definitions, terms, abbreviations, and references used in this AO conform to those used in the UAC R307 and 40 CFR. Unless noted otherwise, references cited in these AO conditions refer to those rules. [R307-101]
I.2	The limits set forth in this AO shall not be exceeded without prior approval. [R307-401]
I.3	Modifications to the equipment or processes approved by this AO that could affect the emissions covered by this AO must be reviewed and approved. [R307-401-1]
I.4	All records referenced in this AO or in other applicable rules, which are required to be kept by the owner/operator, shall be made available to the Director or Director's representative upon request, and the records shall include the two-year period prior to the date of the request. Unless otherwise specified in this AO or in other applicable state and federal rules, records shall be kept for a minimum of five years. [R307-401-8]
I.5	At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners and operators shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate any equipment approved under this AO, including associated air pollution control equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions. Determination of whether acceptable operating and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to the Director which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, opacity observations, review of operating and maintenance procedures, and inspection of the source. All maintenance performed on equipment authorized by this AO shall be recorded. [R307-401-4]
I.6	The owner/operator shall comply with UAC R307-107. General Requirements: Breakdowns. [R307-107]

I.7	The owner/operator shall comply with UAC R307-150 Series. Emission Inventories. [R307-150]
1.8	The owner/operator shall submit documentation of the status of construction of the new Combustion Turbine Plant equipment (II.A.3 through II.A.9) to the Director by December 22, 2023. This AO may become invalid if construction is not commenced within 18 months from the date of this AO or if construction is discontinued for 18 months or more. To ensure proper credit when notifying the Director, send the documentation to the Director, attn.: NSR Section. [R307-401-18]

# **SECTION II: PERMITTED EQUIPMENT**

The intent is to issue an air quality AO authorizing the project with the following recommended conditions and that failure to comply with any of the conditions may constitute a violation of the AO. (New or Modified conditions are indicated as "New" in the Outline Label):

# II.A THE APPROVED EQUIPMENT

II.A.1	Electric Plant
	Combustion turbine plant, coal-fired boiler plant, emergency equipment, etc.
II.A.2	Combustion Turbine Plant Equipment
	The following items are located at the new combustion turbine plant
II.A.3	Combined Cycle Combustion Turbines
	Two 487 MW natural gas and hydrogen-fired combined-cycle combustion turbines
	Control: SCR and oxidation catalyst
II.A.4	Fuel Gas Heaters
	Two 9.9 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired heaters
II.A.5	Auxiliary Boiler
	136 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired auxiliary boiler
	Control: Low-NO <sub>x</sub> Burner (0.14 lb/MMBtu)
II.A.6	Cooling Towers
	Two six-cell linear mechanical draft cooling towers (LMDCTs)
	88,341 gpm of cooling water per tower
II.A.7	<b>Emergency Generators</b>
	Three diesel-fired emergency generators
	Rating: 2,500 kW Each
	NSPS Applicability: Subpart IIII MACT Applicability: Subpart ZZZZ
	WITCI Tipplicatinity. Support EEEE
II.A.8	Firewater Pump
	One 425 bhp diesel-fired emergency firewater pump engine

II.A.9	Miscellaneous Fugitive Sources
	Natural gas piping components (pipes, flanges, valves, etc.) New circuit breakers located at switchyard - SF6 insulated, air cooled.
	The well-cure of cure of the control of the cure of th
II.A.10	Existing Equipment
	The following existing equipment (II.A.11 through II.A.31) will remain available for operation at the site following installation of the new turbine plant
	operation at the site following installation of the new turbine plant
II.A.11	#1 Lime Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling the lime silo
II.A.12	#2 Lime Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling the lime hopper
II.A.13	#3 Soda Ash Dust Collector
11.71.13	Dust collector controlling the soda ash silo
TT 1 1 1	
II.A.14	#4 Soda Ash dust Collector  Dust collector controlling the soda ash hopper
	Bust concetor controlling the soul ush hopper
II.A.15	Paved Haul Roads
II.A.16	Landfill
	Class III Industrial Waste Landfill
II.A.17	Gasoline Tank
	Capacity: 500 gallons
II.A.18	Diesel Tank
	Capacity: 10,000 gallons
II.A.19	Diesel Day Tanks
11.A.19	Maximum capacity: Not to exceed 560 gallons per tank
II.A.20	Mobile Oil Storage Tanks
	Maximum capacity: Not to exceed 12,000 gallons per tank
II.A.21	Used Oil Tank
	Capacity: 10,000 gallons
II.A.22	On-Road Diesel Tank
	Non-commercial, ultra low sulfur, highway diesel fuel tank
	Capacity: 500 gallons
II.A.23	Paint booth/shops
II.A.24	Solvent Washer
II.A.25	Bulb recycling crusher
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

II.A.26	Emergency diesel-driven fire pump Diesel fire pump located at the Intermountain Community Center, but operated by IPP. Rating: 290 HP
II.A.27	Engine Driven Equipment Compressors, generators, hydraulic pumps and diesel fire pumps
II.A.28	Unpaved Haul Roads
II.A.29	#1B Fire Pump Diesel driven fire pump Rating: 290 hp
II.A.30	#1C Fire Pump Diesel driven fire pump Rating: 290 hp
II.A.31	ICS Cooling Towers 8 cooling towers used at the Intermountain Convertor Station and auxiliary equipment
II.A.32	Coal-fired Boiler Plant Equipment The following equipment (II.A.32 - II.A.87) is considered part of the coal-fired boiler plant and will be removed from service once the combustion turbine plant is operational
II.A.33	Unit #1 Coal Fired Boiler Equipped with low NO <sub>x</sub> burners with a maximum heat input of 248 MMBtu/hr per burner. Rating - 9,225,000,000 MMBtu/yr
II.A.34	Unit #2 Coal Fired Boiler Equipped with low NO <sub>x</sub> burners with a maximum heat input of 248 MMBtu/hr per burner. Rating - 9,225,000,000 MMBtu/yr
II.A.35	Over-Fire Air-Port System Boiler #1 & #2 over-fire air-ports system, 16 per boiler
II.A.36	#1A Dust Collector Dust collector controlling coal railcar unloading
II.A.37	#1B Dust Collector Dust collector controlling coal railcar unloading
II.A.38	#1C Dust Collector Dust collector controlling coal railcar unloading
II.A.39	#1D Dust Collector Dust collector controlling coal railcar unloading
II.A.40	#4 Coal Dust Collector Dust collector controlling Coal transfer building #1

II.A.41	#5 Coal Dust Collector Dust collector controlling coal transfer building #2
II.A.42	#6 Coal Dust Collector
11.7.72	
	Dust collector controlling coal transfer building #4
II.A.43	#11 Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling coal crusher building
II.A.44	#13A Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U1 Generation building coal dust
II.A.45	#13B Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U1 Generation building coal dust
II.A.46	#14A Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U2 Generation building coal dust
II.A.47	#14B Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U2 Generation building coal dust
II.A.48	#4 Limestone Dust Collector
11.71.10	Dust collector controlling limestone preparation
II.A.49	#2 Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling Coal truck unloading
II.A.50	#3 Coal Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling coal reserve reclaim
II.A.51	#1A Limestone Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling limestone unloading
II.A.52	#1B Limestone Dust Collector
11111102	Dust collector controlling limestone unloading
II.A.53	#1 Limestone Dust Collector
11.71.33	Dust collector controlling limestone transfer
	Dust concetor controlling innestone transfer
II.A.54	#2 Limestone Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling limestone reclaim
II.A.55	Limestone silo bin vent filter
II.A.56	#3 Limestone Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling limestone crusher
II.A.57	#1A Filter
	Fly ash silo bin vent filter

II.A.58	#1B Filter
11.71.30	Fly ash silo bin vent filter
H A 50	
II.A.59	Coal sample preparation building dust collector
II.A.60	Sandblast facility dust collector
II.A.61	Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U1 Generation building vacuum cleaning
II.A.62	Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U2 Generation building vacuum cleaning
II.A.63	Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U1 Fabric filter vacuum cleaning
II.A.64	Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling U2 Fabric filter vacuum cleaning
II.A.65	Dust Collector
	Dust collector controlling GSB vacuum cleaning
II.A.66	Coal Pile
	Active and reserve
II.A.67	Coal Stackout
II.A.68	#1A Tank
	Fuel oil tank Capacity: 675,000 gallons
	Capacity: 073,000 ganons
II.A.69	#1B Tank
	Fuel oil tank Capacity: 675,000 gallons
	Cupucity: 075,000 garions
II.A.70	Limestone storage pile
II.A.71	Combustion byproducts stackout & stockpile
II.A.72	Combustion byproducts landfill
II.A.73	#1A Cooling Tower
	Unit 1 cooling tower
II.A.74	#1B Cooling Tower
	Unit 1 cooling tower
II.A.75	#1A Cooling Tower
	Unit 2 cooling tower

II.A.76	#1B Cooling Tower Unit 2 cooling tower
II.A.77	#1A Generator Emergency generator Rating:* 4,000 hp
II.A.78	#1B Generator Emergency generator Rating: 4,000 hp
II.A.79	#1C Generator Emergency generator, Rating: 4,000 hp
II.A.80	Engine Driven Equipment Compressors and hydraulic pumps
II.A.81	Coal Conveyors
II.A.82	Coal Truck Unloading Grating
II.A.83	Laboratory fume hoods
II.A.84	Turbine Lube Oil Units  Maximum capacity: Not to exceed 40,000 gallons per tank
II.A.85	Diesel Tank Underground storage diesel tank Capacity: 20,000 gallons
II.A.86	Gasoline Tank Underground storage gasoline tank Capacity: 6,000 gallons
II.A.87	Two Helper Cooling Towers

# **SECTION II: SPECIAL PROVISIONS**

The intent is to issue an air quality AO authorizing the project with the following recommended conditions and that failure to comply with any of the conditions may constitute a violation of the AO. (New or Modified conditions are indicated as "New" in the Outline Label):

# II.B REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS

II.B.1	Intermountain Generating Station
II.B.1.a	Visible emissions from the following emission point sources shall not exceed the listed values:
NEW	

	A. All abrasive blasting - 40% opacity (grandfathered equipment)
	B. All other points - 20% opacity
	Opacity observations of emissions from stationary sources shall be conducted according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9.
	For sources that are subject to NSPS, except for the units equipped with continuous opacity monitoring system, opacity shall be determined by conducting observations in accordance with 40 CFR 60.11(b) and 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9. [R307-201-3]
II.B.1.b	The owner/operator shall abide by the latest FDCP submitted to the Director for control of all dust sources associated with the Intermountain Power Generation site.
	Any haul road speeds established in the plan shall be posted. [R307-205]
II.B.1.c	The facility shall abide by all applicable requirements of R307-205 for Fugitive Emission and Fugitive Dust sources. [R307-205]
II.B.2	Stack Testing Requirements
II.B.2.a	The owner/operator shall conduct any stack testing required by this AO according to the following conditions. [R307-401-8]
II.B.2.a.1	Notification At least 30 days prior to conducting a stack test, the owner/operator shall submit a source test protocol to the Director. The source test protocol shall include the items contained in R307-165-3. If directed by the Director, the owner/operator shall attend a pretest conference. [R307-165-3, R307-401-8]
II.B.2.a.2	Testing & Test Conditions The owner/operator shall conduct testing according to the approved source test protocol and according to the test conditions contained in R307-165-4. [R307-165-4, R307-401-8]
II.B.2.a.3	Access The owner/operator shall provide Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)- or Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)-approved access to the test location. [R307-401-8]
II.B.2.a.4	Reporting No later than 60 days after completing a stack test, the owner/operator shall submit a written report of the results from the stack testing to the Director. The report shall include validated results and supporting information. [R307-165-5, R307-401-8]
II.B.2.a.5	Possible Rejection of Test Results The Director may reject stack testing results if the test did not follow the approved source test protocol or for a reason specified in R307-165-6. [R307-165-6, R307-401-8]
II.B.2.b	Test Methods When performing stack testing, the owner/operator shall use the appropriate EPA-approved test methods as acceptable to the Director. Acceptable test methods for pollutants are listed below. [R307-401-8]

II.B.2.b.1	Standard Conditions				
	A. Temperature - 68 degrees Fahrenheit (293 K)				
	B. Pressure - 29.92 in Hg (101.3 kPa)				
	C. Averaging Time - As specified in the applicable test method				
	[40 CFR 60 Subpart A, 40 CFR 63 Subpart A, R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.2	$PM_{10}$ Total $PM_{10}$ = Filterable $PM_{10}$ + Condensable $PM$				
	Filterable PM <sub>10</sub> 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 5; 40 CFR 51, Appendix M, Method 201; Method 201A; or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director. If other approved testing methods are used which cannot measure the PM <sub>10</sub> fraction of the filterable particulate emissions, all of the filterable particulate emissions shall be considered PM <sub>10</sub> .				
	Condensable PM 40 CFR 51, Appendix M, Method 202 or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director.				
	[R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.3	NO <sub>x</sub> 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 7; Method 7E; or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director. [R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.4	SO <sub>2</sub> 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 6; Method 6C; or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director. [R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.5	CO 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 10 or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director. [R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.6	VOC 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 18; Method 25; Method 25A; 40 CFR 63, Appendix A, Method 320; or other EPA-approved testing method as acceptable to the Director. [R307-401-8]				
II.B.2.b.7	<b>Existing Source Operation:</b> For an existing source/emission point, the production rate during all compliance testing shall be no less than 90% of the maximum production achieved in the previous three (3) years. [R307-401-8]				
II.B.3	Combustion Turbine Plant				
II.B.3.a	The owner/operator shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emissions monitoring system on each of the HRSG stacks. The owner/operator shall record the NO <sub>x</sub> and CO emissions. The monitoring system shall comply with all applicable sections of R307-170;				

	40 CED 12: and 40 CED 60 Amondin D. The NO.		
	40 CFR 13; and 40 CFR 60, Appendix B. The NO <sub>x</sub> monitor shall comply with 40 CFR 75, Appendix A and B.		
	All continuous emissions monitoring devices as required in federal regulations and state rules shall be installed prior to placing the affected source in operation. These devices shall be certified within 90 days of achieving full load, not to exceed 180 days after startup.		
	Except for system breakdown, repairs, calibration of required under paragraph (d) 40 CFR 60.13, the own continuously operate all required continuous monitor frequency of operation requirements as outlined in F 60.13, R307-170]	ner/operator of an affected source shall oring systems and shall meet minimum	
II.B.3.b	The owner/operator shall use natural gas or hydrogen (H2) as fuel in the combustion turbines.		
NEW	The owner/operator shall use natural gas as fuel in the auxiliary boiler. [R307-401-8(1)(a)]		
II.B.3.c	The owner/operator shall not exceed 535 million standard cubic feet (SCF) natural gas consumption at the 136 MMBtu/hr Auxiliary Boiler (II.A.5) per rolling 12-month period. [R307-401-8(1)(a)]		
II.B.3.c.1	Natural gas consumption shall be monitored through use of a flow meter on the natural gas supply line to the Auxiliary Boiler. Fuel usage shall be determined and recorded monthly. By the 20th day of each month a new rolling 12-month total shall be calculated by summing the monthly fuel usage values for the previous 12 months. Monthly and total 12-month fuel usage shall be recorded in an operations log. [R307-401-8]		
II.B.3.d NEW	Emissions to the atmosphere from each Turbine/HRSG Stack shall not exceed the following rates and concentrations:		
	Pollutant Limitations $NO_x$ 2.0 ppmvd at 15% $O_2$ * $CO$ 2.0 ppmvd at 15% $O_2$ * $VOC$ 1.0 ppmvd at 15% $O_2$ *  * Under steady state operation. [R307-401-8(1)(a)]	Averaging Period 30-day rolling 3-hour 3-hour	
II.B.3.d.1	Stack testing to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations stated in the above condition shall be performed on the following schedule:		
	Each turbine/HRSG stack		
	NO <sub>x</sub> : compliance shall be demonstrated by CEM as outlined in condition II.B.3.a. The Director may require testing at any time.		
	CO: compliance shall be demonstrated by CEM as outlined in condition II.B.3.a. The Director may require testing at any time.		
	VOC: initial testing is required within 180 days of b be conducted at least once annually. Testing may be approved by the Director. [R307-165, R307-170]		

II.B.3.d.2 NEW	Steady state operation means all periods of combustion turbine operation, except for periods of startup and shutdown as defined below. Startup is defined as the period beginning with turbine initial firing until the unit meets the ppmvd emission limits listed in condition II.B.3.d for steady state operation. Shutdown is defined as the period beginning with the initiation of turbine shutdown sequence and ending with the cessation of firing of the gas turbine engine.
	The owner/operator shall ensure the following limitations:
	1.Startup and shutdown events shall not exceed 114.9 hours per turbine per rolling 12-month period and are counted toward the applicable annual emission limitations.
	2. Emissions of NO <sub>x</sub> from either turbine/HRSG stack shall not exceed 100.8 lb/hr during startup or shutdown operations.
	3. Emissions of CO from either turbine/HRSG stack shall not exceed 624.0 lb/hr during startup or shutdown operations.
	Compliance with the hours of operation limitation shall be determined though maintenance of an operations log detailing the mode of operation and total hours of operation in each mode.
	Compliance with the $NO_x$ and $CO$ emission limits shall be determined by CEM as outlined in II.B.3.a. [R307-401-8(1)(a)]
II.B.4	Emergency Engine Requirements
II.B.4.a	The owner/operator shall install emergency engines (II.A.7) that are certified to meet a NO <sub>x</sub> emission rate of 7.29 g/kW-hr or less. [R307-401-8(1)(a)]
II.B.4.a.1	To demonstrate compliance with the emission rate, the owner/operator shall keep a record of the manufacturer's certification of emission standards. The record shall be kept for the life of the equipment. [R307-401-8]
II.B.4.b	The owner/operator shall not operate each emergency engine on site for more than 100 hours per rolling 12-month period during non-emergency situations. There is no time limit on the use of the engines during emergencies. [40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ, R307-401-8]
II.B.4.b.1 NEW	To determine compliance with a rolling 12-month total, the owner/operator shall calculate a new 12-month total by the 20th day of each month using data from the previous 12 months. Records documenting the operation of each emergency engine shall be kept in a log and shall include the following:
	A. The date the emergency engine was used
	B. The duration of operation in hours
	C. The reason for the emergency engine usage. [40 CFR 60 Subpart ZZZZ, R307-401-8]
II.B.4.b.2	To determine the duration of operation, the owner/operator shall install a non-resettable hour meter for each emergency engine (generator or fire water pump). [40 CFR 60 Subpart ZZZZ, R307-401-8]

II.B.4.c	The owner/operator shall only use diesel fuel (e.g. fuel oil #1, #2, or diesel fuel oil additives) as fuel in each emergency engine (generator or fire water pump). [R307-401-8]
II.B.4.c.1	The owner/operator shall only combust diesel fuel that meets the definition of ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD), which has a sulfur content of 15 ppm or less. [R307-401-8]
II.B.4.c.2	To demonstrate compliance with the ULSD fuel requirement, the owner/operator shall maintain records of diesel fuel purchase invoices or obtain certification of sulfur content from the diesel fuel supplier. The diesel fuel purchase invoices shall indicate that the diesel fuel meets the ULSD requirements. [R307-401-8]
II.B.5	Coal Plant Sunset Provisions
II.B.5.a NEW	The equipment listed in Section II.A.32 under the heading Coal-fired Boiler Plant Equipment shall remain in operation until such time as the new combustion turbines are installed and operational. The new Combustion Turbine Plant will become operational only after a reasonable shakedown period, not to exceed 180 days. At that time the listed Coal Boiler Plant Equipment shall cease operations and be removed from service.  Conditions II.B.6 through II.B.7.a, shall not apply to the owner/operator once the equipment has been removed from service. [R307-401]
II.B.6	Unit #1 & Unit #2 Main Boilers
II.B.6.a	The owner/operator shall combust only bituminous, subbituminous coals, non-limited synthetic coal-derived fuels and refined coal (synfuels), as primary fuels and shall only use diesel oil or natural gas during the startups, shutdowns, maintenance, performance tests, upsets and for flame stabilization in the 9,225 MMBtu/hr boilers. The owner/operator may fuel-blend self-generated used oil with coal at the active coal pile reclaim structure provided that self-generated used oil has not been mixed with hazardous waste. [R307-401]
II.B.6.a.1	The sulfur content of any fuel oil combusted shall not exceed 0.85 lb/MMBtu heat input in the main boilers. The sulfur content shall be determined by ASTM Method D-4294-89 or approved equivalent. Certification of fuel oil shall either be by IPSCs own testing or test reports from the fuel oil marketer. [R307-203]
II.B.6.b	The owner/operator shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) on the main boiler stacks and SO <sub>2</sub> removal scrubber inlets. The owner/operator shall record the output of the system, for measuring the opacity, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , and CO <sub>2</sub> emissions. The monitoring system shall comply with all applicable sections of R307-170, UAC; and 40 CFR 60, Appendix B.  All continuous emissions monitoring devices as required in federal regulations and state rules
	shall be installed and operational prior to placing the affected source in operation.
	Except for system breakdown, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments required under paragraph (d) 40 CFR 60.13, the owner/operator of an affected source shall continuously operate all required continuous monitoring devices and shall meet minimum frequency of operation requirements as outlined in 40 CFR 60.13 and Section UAC R307-170. [R307-150]
II.B.6.c	Unit #1 & Unit #2 Main Boiler Stack

Except for time of start-up, shut-down, malfunction (NO<sub>x</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub> only), or emergency conditions (SO<sub>2</sub> only), emissions to the atmosphere at all times from the indicated emission points shall not exceed the following rates and concentrations:

Pollutant lb/MMBtu heat input

 $PM_{10}$  0.0184\*

SO<sub>2</sub> 0.138 \*\* (based on 30-day rolling average) NO<sub>x</sub> 0.461 \*\* (based on 30-day rolling average)

# II.B.6.c.1 | Calculations for Test Results: Unit #1 & Unit #2 Boiler Stacks

To determine mass emission rates (lb/hr, etc.) the pollutant concentration as determined by the appropriate methods above shall be multiplied by the volumetric flow rate and any necessary conversion factors determined by the Director, to give the results in the specified units of the emission limitation.

Pollutant lbs/hr (Compliance demonstration)

CO 1320 lb/hr rate (monthly block average)

Combustion flue gas percent  $O_2$  shall be monitored and recorded at least once per 15 minutes at the exit path of each boiler. Measurements are weighted average results collected from several sensors located in each boiler exit flue path. Calibrations shall be maintained within manufacturer's recommendations.

Over-Fire Air (OFA) operating condition shall be monitored and recorded at least once per 15 minutes. Monitoring shall include OFA position and status: i.e., No OFA, 1/3 OFA, 2/3 OFA, throttled or open. Operational status is measured by OFA system damper position.

Using the data above and this formula, CO concentration (ppmdv) shall be calculated and averaged hourly, except for periods of calibration, maintenance, or malfunction of the instrumentation or data system. For periods of calibration, maintenance, or malfunction of instrumentation or data collection system, missing data shall be back filled following procedures similar to 40 CFR Part 75 Subpart D, and used for compliance determinations.

$$[Cppmvd] = n * (O_2%)^a$$

Where:

[Cppmvd] = concentration of CO in parts per million volume dry n = curve specific factor obtained from the table below  $O_2\% = \text{percent } O_2 \text{ measured at the boiler stack exit}$  a = curve specific exponent obtained from the table below

Values for n and a factors:

n a No. OFA 47259 -7.6817

<sup>\*</sup> Test once a year. The Director may require testing at any time.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Compliance for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions shall be demonstrated through use of a continuous emissions monitoring system as outlined in Condition II.B.6.b. [R307-401]

	1/3 OFA	66265	-7.9824
	2/3 OFA (Throttled)	4029.2	-4.0112
	2/3 OFA (full open)	1372.4	
	The hourly mass emission rates in lb per hour shall be calculated using the following formula or any necessary conversion factors determined by the Director, to give the results in the specified units of the emission limitation.		
	[Clb/hr] = [Cppmvd] *	2.59 * 10E-9	* MW * Fd * 20.9/(20.9-O <sub>2</sub> %) * HI
	Where:		
	2.59*10E-9 = conversion  MW = molecular weigh  Fd= F factor to convert	rage of CO er on factor for p nt of CO standard cubi of excess com	ate missions in parts per million ound per standard cubic feet c feet per MMBtu heat input. bustion oxygen, in percent
			nonthly average of CO emissions in lb/hr shall be ge CO emission values in lb/hr. [R307-401]
II.B.6.d	The owner/operator sha Generating Units. [R30		h R307-424 Permits: Mercury Requirements for Electric
II.B.7	<b>Dust Collectors</b>		
II.B.7.a	Except for times of start-up, shut-down, or malfunction, differential pressure at the indicated emission points, at all times, shall be within the following limits:		
	Pollutant/Source	Diff	erential Pressure Range Across the Dust Collector
	PM <sub>10</sub>		nes of water gage)
	114110	(IIIe	ies of water gage)
	(4) Rail car unloading u	ınits	0.5 to 12
	Transfer building #1		0.5 to 12
	Transfer building #2		0.5 to 12
	Transfer building #4		0.5 to 12
	Crusher building #1		0.5 to 12
	Unit one 13A		0.5 to 12 0.5 to 12
	Unit one 13B		0.5 to 12 0.5 to 12
			0.5 to 12 0.5 to 12
	Unit two 14A		
	Unit two 14B	L 11 .11	0.5 to 12
	Limestone preparation	building	0.5 to 12
	-	Oust collector	n 2 inches or greater than 10 inches, work orders will be may run in the 0.5 to 2 or 10 to 12 range if reason is reading is required on a monthly basis. The instrument

# PERMIT HISTORY

When issued, the approval order shall supersede (if a modification) or will be based on the following documents:

Is Derived From Source Submitted NOI dated August 29, 2022 Supersedes DAQE-AN103270029-22 dated June 22, 2022

# REVIEWER COMMENTS

# 1. Comment regarding correction to condition II.B.3.d:

When originally issued, AO DAQE-AN103270029-22 contained an error in condition II.B.3.d. The averaging period for demonstrating compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> limit on the combustion turbines was incorrectly stated as a 3-hr period, rather than what had been established as BACT in the engineering review. BACT for the combustion turbines was established as a 30-day rolling average, to be monitored by CEM. The relevant portion of the original BACT analysis has been re-included in this engineering review for clarity (see the BACT section above for details). This permitting action corrects that error. As the change represents a relaxation of a permit term, a 30-day public comment period is required. No other changes in any conditions or requirements of AO are anticipated by this permitting action. [Last updated September 1, 2022]

# **ACRONYMS**

The following lists commonly used acronyms and associated translations as they apply to this document:

40 CFR Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations

AO Approval Order

BACT Best Available Control Technology

CAA Clean Air Act

CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments

CDS Classification Data System (used by EPA to classify sources by size/type)

CEM Continuous emissions monitor

CEMS Continuous emissions monitoring system

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CMS Continuous monitoring system

CO Carbon monoxide CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon Dioxide

CO<sub>2</sub>e Carbon Dioxide Equivalent - 40 CFR Part 98, Subpart A, Table A-1

COM Continuous opacity monitor DAQ/UDAQ Division of Air Quality

DAQE This is a document tracking code for internal UDAQ use

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FDCP Fugitive dust control plan

GHG Greenhouse Gas(es) - 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(49)(i)

GWP Global Warming Potential - 40 CFR Part 86.1818-12(a)

HAP or HAPs Hazardous air pollutant(s)

ITA Intent to Approve LB/HR Pounds per hour LB/YR Pounds per year

MACT Maximum Achievable Control Technology

MMBTU Million British Thermal Units

NAA Nonattainment Area

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NOI Notice of Intent NO<sub>x</sub> Oxides of nitrogen

NSPS New Source Performance Standard

NSR New Source Review

 $PM_{10}$  Particulate matter less than 10 microns in size  $PM_{2.5}$  Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in size

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

PTE Potential to Emit R307 Rules Series 307

R307-401 Rules Series 307 - Section 401

SO<sub>2</sub> Sulfur dioxide

Title IV Title IV of the Clean Air Act
Title V Title V of the Clean Air Act

TPY Tons per year

UAC Utah Administrative Code VOC Volatile organic compounds



John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov>

# Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

1 message

John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov> To: "Rinkol, Michael J." <RinkolMJ@bv.com> Tue, Aug 30, 2022 at 10:49 AM

Mike,

Well the follow up is that unfortunately we have to go back out for public comment. Since the AO was already issued, changing the averaging period back to 30-days would be a relaxation of a permit term - even though it was issued in error. In the meantime, The company can still build the units without issue, and the new AO should be issued well before the new units go online. Sorry about the inconvenience, but we're stuck with the process. I'll finish the new engineering review and get a copy out to you this afternoon. We should be able to make the first publication next week without issue. - John

On Tue, Aug 30, 2022 at 10:29 AM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

Thanks John, really appreciate your quick response to this issue.	Please let me know what they say and if you need
anything from us.	

Best regards,

Mike

#### Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead – Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

# **Black & Veatch**

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

# Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: John Jenks < jjenks@utah.gov> Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 11:03 AM To: Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com>

Subject: Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

Mike,

No it sounds like that error crept back in on the final version. Let's get it corrected. I'll contact management on my end, using your email as the catalyst to start an administrative change project and we'll get it fixed. I'll also let them know that it was our error and that you guys shouldn't be charged for it. Hopefully that will work. I'll let you know what they

- John

On Mon, Aug 29, 2022 at 3:34 PM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

John,

Going through some work with the contractors and I happened to notice the permit states the NOX emission limit of 2.0 ppmvd at 15% O2 should be on a 3-hour averaging period (See Condition II.B.3.d). However, the BACT analysis discussion for NOX on page 7 states compliance shall be achieved based on a 30-day rolling average. If I remember correctly, we had a discussion about this in March and thought we came to a conclusion that NOX should be on a 30-day rolling average basis. Please let me know which averaging period is correct when using the CEMS data.

## Mike

# Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead - Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

#### **Black & Veatch**

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

#### Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its

attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:38 AM To: Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com>

Subject: Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

In case you haven't yet seen a copy mailed to you, the final AO was signed yesterday afternoon, and should have been emailed to source early this morning.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

- John

On Mon, Jun 20, 2022 at 4:07 PM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

John,

I did not see the final AO document last week, can you please provide a status update on this? Thanks.

#### **Mike**

#### Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead – Air Regulations & Sciences

# **Environmental & Land Services**

\*Licensed in Michigan

#### Black & Veatch

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

# Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov> Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:40 AM To: Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com>

Subject: Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

Caution - External Email: This email originated outside of Black & Veatch. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Michael,

Actually, we didn't receive any comments, and I just approved the final AO document for processing yesterday. It should be heading through for management approval in the next few days, and I expect you will see a final version early next week.

- John

On Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 10:34 AM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

John,

Just wondering if you can provide any update on the status of the Approval Order for the reference project in the subject line? Were there in public comments UDEQ received and if so, does UDEQ require any assistance from us to provide support in preparing responses?

Thanks for any information you can provide.

Mike

# Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead - Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

#### **Black & Veatch**

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

# Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.



# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source

**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov



# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source

**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov

# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source



**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov



# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source

**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov



John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov>

# RE: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

1 message

Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> To: John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov>

Mon, Aug 29, 2022 at 3:34 PM

John,

Going through some work with the contractors and I happened to notice the permit states the NOX emission limit of 2.0 ppmvd at 15% O2 should be on a 3-hour averaging period (See Condition II.B.3.d). However, the BACT analysis discussion for NOX on page 7 states compliance shall be achieved based on a 30-day rolling average. If I remember correctly, we had a discussion about this in March and thought we came to a conclusion that NOX should be on a 30-day rolling average basis. Please let me know which averaging period is correct when using the CEMS data.

#### **Mike**

#### Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead - Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

#### Black & Veatch

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

# Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: John Jenks < jjenks@utah.gov> Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:38 AM To: Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com>

Subject: Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

In case you haven't yet seen a copy mailed to you, the final AO was signed yesterday afternoon, and should have been emailed to source early this morning.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

- John

On Mon, Jun 20, 2022 at 4:07 PM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

John,

I did not see the final AO document last week, can you please provide a status update on this? Thanks.

#### **Mike**

# Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead - Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

# **Black & Veatch**

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

# Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: John Jenks <jjenks@utah.gov> Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:40 AM To: Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com>

Subject: Re: Intermountain Power Service Corporation - Project Number N103270029 Update

Caution - External Email: This email originated outside of Black & Veatch. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Michael,

Actually, we didn't receive any comments, and I just approved the final AO document for processing yesterday. It should be heading through for management approval in the next few days, and I expect you will see a final version early next week.

- John

On Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 10:34 AM Rinkol, Michael J. <RinkolMJ@bv.com> wrote:

John,

Just wondering if you can provide any update on the status of the Approval Order for the reference project in the subject line? Were there in public comments UDEQ received and if so, does UDEQ require any assistance from us to provide support in preparing responses?

Thanks for any information you can provide.

#### Mike

# Michael Rinkol, P.E.\*

Subject Matter Lead - Air Regulations & Sciences

**Environmental & Land Services** 

\*Licensed in Michigan

#### **Black & Veatch**

11401 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211

**D** +1 913-458-8465 (Central Time Zone)

E RinkolMJ@BV.com

# Building a World of Difference.®

## Please consider the environment before printing my email

Please note that the information and attachments in this email are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not forward, copy or print the message or its attachments. Notify me at the above address, and delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.



# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source

**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov



# John D. Jenks

Environmental Engineer | NSR Major Source

**New Phone #:** (385) 306-6510

airquality.utah.gov